1 Sec. 18	•	Complete if Known			
		Application Number	09/914,046		
FEE TRANSMITTATO I FOR FY 2004 (Small Entitle)		Filing Date	Oct. 1, 2001		
		First Named Inventor	Llang XU		
(Siliali Lii	ititia APR 3 0 2004	Examiner Name	Marianne Nmn Dibrino		
	(C) 475 000	Group Art Unit	1644		
Total Amount of Payment	(\$) 475.00	Attorney Docket Number	2444-105		

METHO	DD OF PAYMENT (check o	ne)	FEE (	CALCU	LATION (continued)	
1. X	The Commissioner is hereby authorized the			DITION	NAL FEES	
required fees to			Fee	Fee		
	Deposit Account Number 02-2135 in the name of Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck			Paid	Fee Description	Fee Paid
				65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	f 1
			2052	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee	į
Charge any Additional Fee Required Under			1053		or cover sheet	
	37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17			130	Non-English specification	[ ]
	or or the and the		1812	2,520	For filing a request for reexamination	[ ]
			1804	920	Requesting publication of SIR	[ ]
X Applicant claims small entity status.					prior to Examiner action	
_			1805	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR	
2.	Payment Enclosed:				after Examiner action	
	Check		2251	55	Extension for reply within first month	[ ]
			2252	210	Extension for reply within second month	[ ]
	Credit Card		2253	475	Extension for reply within third month	[475.00]
			2254	740	Extension for reply within fourth month	[ ]
FEE CA	ALCULATION		2255	1,005	Extension for reply within fifth month	[ ]
			2401	165	Notice of Appeal	Ĺ
1 EII IN	NG FEE		2402	165	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	[ ]
			2403	145	Request for Oral Hearing	ĺ
Fee Fe	and the second s	Fan Daid	1451	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	į į
	\$ Fee Description	Fee Paid	2452	55	Petition to revive -unavoidable	[ ]
2001 38			2453	475	Petition to revive - unintentional	[ ]
	70 Design Filing Fee	l J	2501	665	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	( j
2003 26		l j	2502	240	Design issue fee	[ ]
	Reissue Filing Fee	l J	2503	320	Plant issue fee	[ ]
2005 8	30 Provisional Filing Fee	l J	1460	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	[ ]
		•	1807	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)	[ ]
	SUBTOTAL	\$	1806	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Statement	[ ]
2. CLA	IMS		8021	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	[ ]
	Extra		2809	385	Filing a submission after final rejection	[ ]
	Claims	Fee Fee Paid			(37 CFR .129(a))	. ,
Total Clai	ms [ ] $-20^{**}$ = [ ] x	\$9=[]	2810	385	For each additional invention to be	[ ]
Independ			0004		examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))	_
Claims	[ ]- 3** = [ ] x	43 = [ ]	2801	385	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	[ ]
Multiple D	Pependent Claims +	145 = [ ]	1802	900	Request for expedited examination	l j
			4504	000	of a design application	_
**or numb	per previously paid, if greater;		1504	300	Publication fee for early, voluntary, or normal publication	[ ]
	CURTOTAL	•	1505	300	Publication fee for republication	, ,
	SUBTOTAL	\$	1455	200	Filing an application for patent term adjustment	l J
			1456	400	Request for reinstatement of term reduced	l J
				ee (speci		i j
			Outer	cc (apeu	<i>ו</i> ני	t 1
			* Redu	ced by Ba	asic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL	\$ 475.00

SUBMITTED BY	Complete (if applicable)				
NAME AND REG. NUMBER	Barbara G. Ernst, Reg. No.	30,37	77		
SIGNATURE	Careara G. Ernet	DATE	april 30,0004	DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USER ID	

Jan

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

164498

Appl. No. APR 3 0 2004 Filed TC/A.U. Examiner

09/914,046 Liang XU et al. October 1, 2001

1644

er : Marianne Nmn Dibrino

Docket No.

۲.

2444-105

Customer No. : 06449

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

## **Amendment and Response to Restriction Requirement**

Dear Sir:

A Restriction Requirement was issued in connection with the above-referenced patent application on January 2, 2004, in which the claims of the application were divided into 10 different groups. The examiner asserted that claim1 of the application does not provide a technical feature that is distinguished over the prior art, as evidenced by a reference by Compagnon et al. The examiner characterized this reference as teaching cationic liposomes complexed with antibody-polylysine conjugates, i.e., nucleic acid-cationic immunoliposome complexes.

Prior to addressing the merits of the Restriction Requirement, Applicants respectfully request that the claims of this application be amended as reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.